

J. Phytol. Res. 28 (1 & 2): 47-54, 2015

# **REMOVAL OF HEAVY MEALS THROUGH PHYTOREMEDIATION TECHNIQUE**

#### SAURABH DAVE<sup>1</sup> and HARDIK PATHAK<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, JECRC University, Rajasthan, India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology, JECRC University, Rajasthan, India.

\* Corresponding author : E-mail: hardikaeshu@gmail.com

Today we are facing several environmental problems including the pollution occur by heavy metals present in soil and water. The present remediation technique of heavy metal removal from contaminated soil is being more costeffective and fewer side effects than physical and chemical approaches. It has gained attention from scientist as increasing popularity in both academic and practical circles. Phytoremediation is an emerging technology for cleaning up contaminated sites, with several advantages and long term applicability. More than 450 plant species have been identified to have potential for soil and water remediation. The technology involves efficient use of plants for the removal of heavy metals, detoxify or immobilize environmental contaminants in a growth matrix (soil, water or sediments) through the natural, biological, chemical or physical activities or processes of the plants. A brief review on phytoremediation of heavy metals and its effect on plants have been provided for a wide applicability of phytoremediation.

Keywords: Environmental pollution; Heavy Metal; Phytoremediation.

## Introduction

Human evolution has led to immense scientific and technological progress. Global development, however. raises new challenges, especially in the field of environmental protection and conservation<sup>1</sup>. Land and Water are most important natural which resources on earth rely the agriculture sustainability of and the civilization of mankind. Unfortunately, due to human activities they have been subjected to exploitation and severely degraded or polluted.

In the beginning of the industrialization, soil pollution by toxic

heavy metals has accelerated dramatically. According to Nriagu  $(1996)^2$  about 90% of the anthropogenic emissions of heavy metals have occurred since 1900 AD; it is now well recognized that human activities involved to a substantial accumulation of heavy metals in soils on a global scale (e.g.  $5.6 - 38 \times 106$  kg Cd yr-1). The pollution includes emission of industrial waste and solid discharge from industries, vehicle exhaustion and metals from smelting and mining, as well as sources like soluble salts (natural and artificial), uses of insecticides/pesticides,<sup>2,3</sup>. Every source is not only a potent source to damage plants, animals and ultimately to

human health but also adds heavy metal to soils and waters which affect a serious concern on human health due to their persistence in the environment and carcinogenicity to human beings. They cannot be destroyed biologically but are only transformed from one oxidation state or organic complex to another<sup>4,5,6</sup>. Therefore, heavy metal pollution posses a great threat to the ecosystems.

## **Sources of Metal Pollution**

Land and water pollution by heavy metals is a worldwide issue. Several activities are sources of heavy metal contamination<sup>7</sup>. anthropogenic Sources of metal contamination include industrial effluents, fuel production, mining, smelting processes, utilization military operations, of agricultural chemicals, small-scale industries (including production, battery metal products, metal smelting and cable coating kilns industries). brick and coal combustion<sup>8</sup>. In Western Europe, 1 400 000

sites were affected by heavy metals<sup>9</sup>, of which, over 300 000 were contaminated, and the estimated total number in Europe could be much larger, as pollution problems increasingly occurred in Central and Eastern European countries<sup>10</sup>. One of the prominent sources contributing to increased load of soil contamination is disposal of municipal wastage. These wastes are either dumped on roadsides or used as landfills, and sewage is used for irrigation. These wastes, although useful as a source of nutrients, are also sources of carcinogens and toxic metals. Additional potential sources of heavy metals include irrigation water contaminated industrial by sewage and effluent leading to contaminated soils and vegetables<sup>11</sup>.

Heavy metals that have been identified in the polluted environment include As, Cu, Cd, Pb, Cr, Ni, Hg and Zn. The sources of various heavy metals are listed in Table 1.

Heavy metals	Sources
	Semiconductors, petroleum refining, wood preservatives, animal feed
As	additives, coal power plants, herbicides, volcanoes, mining and
	smelting <sup>2,15</sup>
	Electroplating industry, smelting and refining, mining, biosolids <sup>16</sup>
Cu	
	Geogenic sources <sup>17</sup> , anthropogenic activities <sup>18</sup> , metal smelting and
Cd	refining, fossil fuel burning, application of phosphate fertilizers, sewage
	sludge <sup>19</sup>
	Electroplating industry, sludge, solid waste, tanneries <sup>20,21,22</sup>
Cr	
	Mining and smelting of metal liferous ores, burning of leaded gasoline,
Pb	municipal sewage, industrial wastes enriched in Pb, Paints <sup>19</sup>
	Volcano eruptions, forest fire, emissions from industries producing
Hg	caustic soda, coal, peat and wood burning <sup>23</sup>
	Coal mining, oil refining, combustion of fossil fuels, glass manufacturing
Se	industry, chemical synthesis (e.g., varnish, pigment formulation)
Ni	Volcanic eruptions, land fill, forest fire, bubble bursting and gas
	exchange in ocean, weathering of soils and geological materials <sup>20</sup>

 Table 1: Different Sources of heavy metals

The presence of any metal may vary from site to site, depending upon the source of individual pollutant. Excessive uptake of metals by plants may produce toxicity in human nutrition, and cause acute and chronic diseases. For instance, Cd and Zn can lead to acute gastrointestinal and respiratory damages and acute heart, brain and kidney damages. High concentrations of heavy metals in soil can negatively affect crop growth, as these metals interfere with metabolic functions in plants, including physiological and biochemical processes, inhibition of photosynthesis, and respiration and degeneration of main cell organelles, even leading to death of plants<sup>12</sup>. Soil contamination with heavy metals may also cause changes in the composition of soil microbial community, adversely affecting soil characteristics<sup>13,14</sup>.

## Metal Toxicity

All plants have the ability to accumulate "essential" nutrients (Ca, Co, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, Se, V and Zn) from the soil. Plants need different concentrations of these nutrients for growth and development. This ability also allows plants to accumulate other "non-essential" metals (Al, As, Au, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, Pd, Pt, Sb, Te, Tl and U) which have no known biological function <sup>24,25,26</sup>. Moreover, metals cannot be broken down and when concentrations inside the plant cells accumulate above threshold or optimal levels, it can cause direct toxicity by damaging cell structure (due to oxidative stress caused by reactive oxygen species) and inhibit a number of cytoplasmic enzymes<sup>27</sup>. In addition, it can cause indirect toxic effects by replacing essential nutrients at cation exchange sites in plants<sup>24</sup>. Baker (1981)<sup>25</sup> proposed that some plants have a capability to tolerate the presence of large amounts of heavy metals in their environment by the following three

ways: 1. Exclusion, transport of metals is restricted and constant metal concentrations are maintained in the shoot over a wide range of soil levels.

2. Inclution, shoot metal concentrations reflect those in the soil solution in a linear relationship.

3. Bioccumulation, metals are accumulated in the roots and upper plant parts at both high andlow soil concentrations.

Prajapati et al.,  $2012^{28}$  investigated that aquatic macrophytes, *Pistia stratiotes*, may be used for phytoremediation of water bodies polluted with heavy metals, Cr and Co in a sustainable way.

Excessive metals in human nutrition can be toxic and can cause acute and chronic diseases<sup>12</sup>. Zn is an essential trace nutrient to all high plants and animals. Zinc is required in a large number of enzymes<sup>29</sup> and plays an essential role in DNA transcription. Zinc toxicity often leads to leaf chlorosis<sup>30</sup>. Zn majorly absorbed by *Brassicaceae* family and is in the genus Thlapsi.

# Technologies for Removal of Heavy Metals

The term phytoremediation (phyto = plantand remediation = correct evil) is relatively new, coined term in 1991. Phytoremediation term has been used widely since its with a variety of specific inception, meanings Soil constitutes the weathered surface to the earth's crusts which is mixed with organic material and in which microorganism live and plant grow. Soil consists of the inorganic materials, organic materials, air and water in loosely packed soil particles: plant roots and small organisms including bacteria, fungi etc. The mineral components vary according to a particular set of climatic conditions. Soil properties play a significant role in determining plant growth as well as in community development. Soil water holding

capacity is controlled by its structure and texture. The soil moisture content has great bearing in controlling solute movement, salt solubility. chemical reactions and microbiological activities and ultimately the bioavailability of the metal ions. It directly connected with the clay percentage of any soil structure. The pinnacle performance is achieved under a set of most favorable conditions. The cleaning of contaminated soils from heavy metals is the most difficult particularly task. on а Phytoremediation largescale. involves phytoextraction<sup>31</sup>, rhizofiltration<sup>32</sup>, phytostablization<sup>3</sup> and phytotransformation /phytodegradation<sup>34</sup>. Aquatic macrophytes Pistia stratiotes L. has been extensively phytoremediation<sup>35,36</sup>. Pistia used for stratiotes was used laboratory in experiments for the removal of several heavy metals (Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn, Cr, and Pb) resulting from anthropogenic activity<sup>37</sup>. Several methods have been adopted for the cleaning of these harmful metals which may be physico chemical or biological.

In physicochemical approach excavation and burial of the soil at a hazardous waste site, fixation/inactivation (chemical processing of the soil to immobilize the metals), leaching by using acid solutions or proprietary leachants to adsorb and leach the metals from soil followed by the return of clean soil residue to the site<sup>33</sup>, precipitation or flocculation followed by sedimentation, ion exchange, reverse osmosis and microfiltration<sup>38</sup>. The physicochemical approaches are generally costly and have side effects<sup>3, 38</sup>.

Biological approaches include: (1) utilization of microorganisms to detoxify the metals by, extracellular, or volatilization [ by enzymatically, valence transformation, chemical precipitation etc], (2) use of special type of plants species to decontaminate soil or water by inactivating metals in the rhizosphere or translocating them into the aerial parts of the plants. This approach is called phytoremediation, which is considered as a new and highly promising technology for the reclamation of polluted sites and cheaper than physicochemical approaches <sup>5,38</sup>.

# Advantages and Disadvantages

Phytoremediation technique is a cost effective technique and it is the most acceptable approach towards the removal of heavy metals. The major advantages of the heavy metal adsorption technology by biomass are its effectiveness in reducing the concentration of heavy metal ions to very low levels and the use of inexpensive biosorbent materials<sup>39</sup>. Phytoremediation as possibly the cleanest and cheapest technology can be employed in the remediation of selected hazardous sites<sup>40</sup>. Phytoremediation encompasses a number of different methods that can lead to degradation<sup>41</sup>. contaminant Phytoremediation is regarded as a new approach for the cleanup of contaminated air<sup>42</sup> soils. water. and ambient Phytoremediation research can also contribute to the improvement of poor soils such as those with high aluminum or salt levels<sup>43</sup>. It is applicable to a range of toxic minimal radionuclides, metals and environmental disturbance, elimination of secondary air or water-borne wastes, and acceptance<sup>44</sup>. Phytoextraction is public considered as an environmentally eco friendly method to remove metals from economically valorized in the form of bioenergy. The use of metal-accumulating bioenergy crops might be suitable for this purpose.

Among them are being time consuming method, the amount of produced biomass, the root depth, soil c hemistry and the level of contamination, the age of plant, the contaminant concentration, the impacts of contaminated vegetation, and climatic condition. The intermediates formed from those organic and inorganic contaminants plants<sup>45</sup>. may be cytotoxic to Phytoremediation is also limited by the growth rate of the plants. More time may be required to phytoremediate a site as compared with other more traditional cleanup technologies. Excavation and disposal or incineration takes weeks to months accomplish, to while phytoextraction or degradation may need several years. Therefore, for sites that pose acute risks for human and other ecological receptors, phytoremediation may not be the choice  $^{40,45}$ . technique of remediation Phytoremediation might be best suited for remote areas where human contact is limited or where soil contamination does not require an immediate response<sup>39</sup>.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the JECRC University or providing various facilities.

# References

- Bennett LE, Burkhead JL, Hale KL, Terry N, Pilon M and Pilon-smits EAH 2003, Analysis of transgenic Indian Mustard plants for phytoremediation of metals-contaminated mine tailings. J. Environ. Qual. 32 432-440
- 2. Nriagu JO 1994, Arsenic in the Environment.1. Cycling and Characterization. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- 3. McGrath SP, Zhao FJ, Lombi E 2001, Plant and rhizosphere process involved in phytoremediation of metalcontaminated soils. *Plant Soil* **232** 207-214.
- 4. Schalscha E and Ahumada I 1998, Heavy metals in rivers and soils of

central chile. *Water Sci. Technol.* **37** 251-255.

- 5. Garbisu C and Alkorta I 2001, Phytoextraction: A cost effective plant-based technology for the removal of metals from the environment. *Biores. Technol.* **77** 229-236.
- 6. Gisbert C, Ros R, de Haro A, Walker DJ, Pilar Bernal M, Serrano R and Avino JN 2003, A plant genetically modified that accumulates Pb is especially promising for phytoremediation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **303** 440-445.
- 7. Dembitsky V 2003, Natural occurrence of arseno compounds in plants, lichens, fungi, algal species, and microorganisms. *Plant Sci.* **165** 1177-1192.
- 8. Walsh PR, Duce RA and Finishing JI 1979, Consideration of the enrichment, sources, and flux of arsenic in the troposphere. *J. Geophysical. Res.* **84** 1719-1726.
- 9. Mohammad IL, Zhen-li HE Peter J STOFFELLA and Xiao-e YANG 2008, Phytoremediation of heavy metal polluted soils and water: Progresses and perspectives. *Journal of Zhejiang University* SCIENCE B. **9** 210-220.
- Giller KE, Witter E and McGrath SP 1998, Toxicity of heavy metals to microorganism and microbial processes in agricultural soils: A review. *Soil Biol. Bichem.* **30** 1389-1414.
- 11. Bridge G 2004, Contested terrain: mining and the environment. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* **29** 205-259.
- 2003. Enhancing 12. Schmidt U phytoremediation: effect of The chemical soil manipulation on mobility, accumulation and plant

leaching of heavy metals. J. Environ. Qual. **32** 1939-1954.

- Giller KE, Witter E and McGrath SP 1998, Toxicity of heavy metals to microorganism and microbial processes in agricultural soils: A review. *Soil Biol. Bichem.* **30** 1389-1414
- Kozdrój J van and Elsas JD 2001, Structural diversity of microbial communities in arable soils of a heavily industrialized area determined by PCR-DGGE finger printing and FAME profiling. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*17 31-42
- 15. Kurek E and Bollag JM 2004, Microbial immobilization of cadmium released from CdO in the soil. *Biogeochemistry* **69** 227-239.
- 16. Liu XM, Wu QT and Banks MK 2005, Effect of simultaneous establishment of Sedum alfridii and Zea mays on heavy metal accumulation in plants. *Int. J. Phytoremediation* **7** 43-53.
- 17. Baize D 1997, Teneurs totales en éléments traces métalliques dans les sols (France), INRA Editions, 408 pp.
- Nriagu JO and Pacyna JM 1988, Quantitative assessment of worldwide contamination of air water and soils by trace metals. *Nature* 333 134-139.
- Alloway BJ 1995, Heavy Metals in Soils. Blackie Academic & Professional, London.
- Knox AS, Gamerdinger AP, Adriano DC, Kolka RK and Kaplan DI 1999, Sources and Practices Contributing to Soil Contamination. In: Adriano DC Bollag, JM, Frankenberg WT (Jr) and Sims RC (eds.), Bioremediation of the Contaminated Soils. Agronomy Series. No. 37, ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison.
- 21. Gisbert C, Ros R, de Haro A, Walker

DJ, Pilar Bernal M, Serrano R and Avino JN 2003, A plant genetically modified that accumulates Pb is especially promising for phytoremediation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **303** 440-445.

- 22. Seaward MRD and Richardson DHS 1990, Atmospheric Sources of Metal Pollution and Effects on Vegetation. In: Shaw AJ (ed.), Heavy Metal Tolerance in Plants: Evolutionary aspect.
- 23. Lindqvist O 1991, Mercury in the Swedish environment. *Water Air Soil Bull.* **55** 23-32.
- 24. Taiz L and Zeiger E 2002, Plant Physiology. Sinauer Associates, (eds.) Sunderland, USA. pp. 690.
- 25. Baker AJM 1981, Accumulators and excluders strategies in the response of plants to heavy metals. *J. Plant Nutr.* **3** 643-654.
- 26. Djingova R and Kuleff I 2000, Instrumental techniques for trace analysis. In: Vernet JP (eds) Trace elements: Their distribution and effects in the environment. Elsevier Science Ltd., United Kingdom, pp. 146.
- 27. Assche F and Clijsters H 1990, Effects of metals on enzyme activity in plants. *Plant Cell Environ*. **24** 1-15.
- 28. Prajapati SK, N Meravi and S Singh 2012, Phytoremediation of Chromium and Cobalt using Pistia stratiotes: A sustainable approach. *Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences* **2** 136-138.
- 29. Mengel K and Kirkby EA 1982, Principles of plant nutrition. International Potash Institute, Bern, Switzerland.
- 30. Cobbett C and Goldsbrough P 2002, Phytochelatins and metallothioneins:

Roles in heavy metal detoxification and homeostasis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **53** 159-182.

- 31. Kumar PBAN, Dushenkov V and Motto H 1995, Phytoextraction- the use of plants to remove heavy metals from soils. *Environmental Science and Technology*. **29** 1232-1238
- 32. Dushenkov V Kumar PBAN Motto H 1995, Rhizofiltration- the use of plants to remove heavy metals from aqueous streams. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 29 1239-1245
- 33. Salt DE, Blaylock M, Kumar NP, Dushenkov V, Ensley BD, Chet I and Raskin I 1995, Phytoremediation: A novel strategy for the removal of toxic elements from the environment using plants. *Biotechnology* **13** 468-474
- 34. Susarla Medina VF S, and **McCutcheon** SC 2002. Phytoremediation: ecological An solution chemical organic to contamination. *Ecological Engineering* **18** 647–658
- 35. Quian JH, Zayed A and Zhu YL 1999, Phytoaccumulation of tace elements by wetland plants, III: Uptake and accumulation of ten trace elements by twelve plant species. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **28** 1448–1455
- 36. Skinner K, Wright N and Goff EP 2007, Mercury uptake and accumulation by four species of aquatic plants. *Environmental Pollution* **145** 234–237
- А 37. Miretzky P. Saralegui and Fernandez Cirelli A 2004, Aquatic macrophytes potential for the simultaneous removal of heavy metals (Buenos Aires. Argentina). Chemosphere 57 997-1005
- 38. Raskin I, Smith RD and Salt DE 1997, Phytoremediation of metals: Using

plants to remove pollutants from the environment. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **8** 221-226.

- Salido L, KL Hasty, JM Lim and DJ Butcher 2003, Phytoremediation of arsenic and lead in contaminated soil using Chinese Brake ferns (*Pteris vittata*) and Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) International Journal of Phytoremediation 5 89–103.
- 40. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Introduction to Phytoremediation," National Risk Management Research Laboratory, EPA/600/R-99/107, 2000, http://www.clu-in.org/ download/remed/introphyto.pdf.
- Rodriguez L, Lopez-Bellido A, Carnicer F, Recreo A, Tallos and JM Monteagudo 2005, Mercury recovery from soils by phytoremediation in Book of Environmental Chemistry. 197–204, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- 42. Ginneken Van E, Meers R and Guisson 2007, Phytoremediation for heavy metal-contaminated soils combined with bioenergy production," *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management* **15** 227– 236.
- 43. US Department of Energy, "Plume Focus Area. Summary Report of a Workshop on Phytoremediation Research Needs, Office of Technology Development, Office of Environmental Management and Division of Energy Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Energy Research," 1994,

http://www.law.csuohio.edu/lawlibrary - oldsite/info services/acquisitions/acq-0104.html.

44. Liu D, Jiang W, Liu C, Xin C and Hou W 2000, Uptake and accumulation of

lead by roots, hypocotyls and shoots of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.). Bioresource *Technology* **71** 273– 277.

45. Mwegoha WJS 2008, The use of

phytoremediation technology for abatement soil and groundwater pollution in Tanzania: opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa* **10** 140– 156.