

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF EFFLUENT FROM KMML (KERALA MINERALS AND METALS LIMITED) T_i O₂ INDUSTRY, CHAVARA, KOLLAM DISTT., KERALA

B.S.SUMA, C. SHAJI and L. BINDU*

Department of Botany, Sree Narayana College, Kollam — 695 001, Kerala, India.

*Zoological Survey of India, M-Block, New Alipore, Kolkata- 700053, India.

Email: shajisnckollam@gmail.com

Physico-chemical and biological characteristics of KMML industrial effluent were studied for a period of 2 years from September, 2007 to August, 2009. The study was performed to assess the pollution status of the effluent and to find out pollution tolerant taxa. The effluent samples were analyzed for 22 parameters such as Temperature, pH, phenolphthalein alkalinity, total alkalinity, free CO₂, dissolved oxygen, BOD, COD, nitrate, phosphate, sulphate, total dissolved solid, chloride, total hardness, calcium, fluoride, iron, lead, zinc, cadmium, copper and chromium. The results revealed that the effluent contained objectionable amounts of total hardness (30-2200 mg/l), chloride (42.6-1192 mg/l), sulphate (64.8-561.6 mg/l), phosphate (0.32-2.4 mg/l), TDS (143-3826 mg/l), BOD (11-40 mg/l), COD (260-380 mg/l), iron (9.4-14.2 mg/l), lead (0.2 mg/l) and chromium (0.2 mg/l). A total of 20 algal species were encountered from the effluent. Out of which *Anabaena constricta*, *Oscillatoria chlorina*, *O. laetevirens* var. *minimus*, *O. limosa*, *O. subbrevis*, *O. tenuis*, *Phormidium tenue*, *Euglena agilis*, *Cyclotella meneghiniana*, *Navicula rhyncocephala*, *Nitzschia amphioxoides* and *Nitzschia palea* have been reported as pollution tolerant species. Thirteen ciliated protozoans were also present in the collections.

Keywords: KMML effluent; Physico-chemical parameters, Pollution tolerant taxa.

Introduction

Rapid industrialization and urbanization has resulted in drastic impairment of our ecosystems. The improper management of waste waters emanating from industries and municipalities may cause serious problems in availability and quality of water¹. The waste waters near the factories are subjected to reaction with percolating rain water and reach the aquifer system and hence degrade the ground water quality². A continuous periodical monitoring of waste water quality at the emission site is necessary, so that appropriate steps can be taken for waste management practices. Therefore, present study was aimed to analyze the physico-chemical parameters and biological characteristics of the effluent of KMML industry. KMML is located at 8° 59'688" N latitude and 76° 31'917" E longitude. The areal extend of KMML is about 210 acres. It is the only integrated plant with mineral separation plant, Synthetic Rutile plant with acid regeneration facility and titanium dioxide pigment productions plant in a single complex.

Material and Methods

The present study was carried out by collecting water and

plankton samples from the effluent canal of KMML during the period from September, 2007 to August, 2009 at regular intervals of one month between 9.30 to 10.30a.m. The samples were brought to the laboratory in polythene bottles of 2l capacity. The collected samples were stored at 4°C until the analyses were completed. The atmospheric and surface water temperatures were recorded at the field itself. The pH of the water samples was measured using systronic digital pH meter. Other parameters such as phenolphthalein alkalinity, total alkalinity, free CO₂, dissolved oxygen, BOD, COD nitrate phosphate, sulphate, TDS, chloride, total hardness, calcium, fluoride iron, lead, cadmium, copper, zinc and chromium were analyzed as per the standard methods³. Fluoride, lead, cadmium, copper, zinc and chromium were estimated only once i.e. in the samples collected in June, 2009. Fluoride concentration was determined with the help of selective ion meter and heavy metal concentrations were carried out using atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin Elmer Model-300).

Plankton samples were preserved in 5% formalin. Algae were identified with the help of Standard

monographs and research papers⁴⁻⁶. Zooplanktons have been identified with the help of standard publications^{7,8}.

Results and Discussion

The range and average values of various physico-chemical parameters of the effluent are given in Tables 1 and 2. Water temperature varied from 28.3 to 34.8°C and has a close relation to the variation of atmospheric temperature as observed by Sunkad and Patil⁹. pH is one of the most important parameters used in water quality assessment. The permissible limit of pH in water is 6.5 to 8.5¹⁰. The pH of the effluent ranged from 6.3 to 7.2 with an average of 6.94.

The values of total alkalinity varied from 20 to 240 mg/l, with an average of 75.83 mg/l, which is within the permissible limit of 600 mg/l¹¹. Alkalinity itself is not harmful to human beings still the waters with alkalinity less than 120 mg/l are desirable for domestic uses¹². Phenolphthalein alkalinity was totally absent in the effluent. Free CO₂ was present throughout the study. Its values were found to vary from 4.4 to 13.2 mg/l with an average of 5.75 mg/l. Free CO₂ in water indicates the presence of decomposable organic matters^{13,14}.

The dissolved oxygen is the most important parameter to check the water quality. In the effluent DO was very low and its concentration fluctuated between 0 to 8.2 mg/l with an average of 2.5 mg/l. The average value was much lower than the desirable limit (5.0 mg/l). Since DO is an index of physical and chemical process going in the water, the presence of oxygen demanding pollutants like organic wastes cause rapid depletion of DO from water¹⁵. The low DO in the effluent is the most critical manifestation of pollution. BOD is found to be more sensitive test for organic pollution. BOD values ranged between 11 to 40 mg/l with an average of 25.01 mg/l. These higher values indicate high load of organic wastes in the effluent and the average value was beyond the permissible limit for the disposal of waste water. The COD values fluctuated between 260 and 380 mg/l with an average value of 286.2 mg/l. The higher values of COD indicate the presence of oxidizable organic matter in the effluent^{16,17}. According to BIS¹⁰ the maximum permissible limit of COD for the discharge of effluents into surface water is 250 mg/l. However, the observed values were beyond this limit.

The nitrate concentration in the effluent lies in the range of 0.42 to 1.9 mg/l with an average of 0.897 mg/l, which is within the permissible limit prescribed by BIS. The concentration of phosphate ranged from 0.32 to 2.4 mg/l with an average value of 0.89 mg/l. The recorded values are higher than 0.1 mg/l, an indication of pollution¹⁸. The sulphate concentration ranged from 64.8 to 561.6 mg/l with an average of 243.9 which is higher than the permissible limit of WHO (200 mg/l and BIS (150 mg/l).

The higher values of sulphate indicate high pollution load in the effluent.

The deterioration of water quality is mainly due to the concentration of TDS¹⁹. The values of TDS fluctuated between 143 and 3826 mg/l. Its values in the range of 50 to 150 mg/l make the water unfit for any use²⁰. The average TDS value was very high (1282.1 mg/l) and exceeded the maximum permissible limit¹¹. Chloride values ranged from 42.6 to 1192 mg/l with an average of 292.52 mg/l. Chlorides in water do not cause harmful effects on public health, but high concentration can cause objectionable taste, and it may increase the corrosivity of water. The average chloride concentration in the effluent exceeded highest desirable limit of 250 mg/l and occasionally exceeded the maximum permissible limit as per BIS¹⁰ (1000 mg/l). Hardness of water is mainly due to calcium and magnesium. According to Kannan²¹ water with hardness values more than 180 mg/l is very hard. The total hardness of the effluent varied from 30 to 2200 mg/l with an average of 672.08 mg/l which exceeded the maximum permissible limit (600 mg/l). The calcium concentration fluctuated between 9.62 and 922 mg/l with an average value of 194.09 mg/l. Calcium concentration exceeded the maximum permissible limit of 1CMR (200 mg/l) for a few months (February – May 2008; March – May 2008).

Exposure to higher amounts of fluoride causes fluorosis, both dental and skeletal fluorosis²². In the effluent its concentration was 0.2 mg/l which lies within the permissible limit. Water with less than 2.0 mg/l iron causes staining of clothes and porcelain and imparts a bitter astringent taste to water¹². According to Clark²³ iron from titanium dioxide dump sites have no environmental impact, but a cock tail of other metals associated with it causes diverse effect. The average iron concentration (11.1 mg/l) is beyond the maximum permissible limit. The lead content in the effluent (0.2 mg/l) exceeded the maximum permissible limit of 0.1 mg/l for the disposal of industrial waste water. The concentration of cadmium and copper were below the detectable level in the effluent. The observed value of zinc in the effluent was 0.3 mg/l which was within the permissible limit. Chromium has potent carcinogenic effects on human beings²⁴. Its concentration (0.2 mg/l) also exceeded the maximum permissible limit.

A total of 20 algal taxa over 9 genera have been recorded from the effluent. Cyanophyceae was found to be the most dominant group, particularly with species of *Oscillatoria*. This observation confirms the view of Palmer²⁵ who stated that blue greens are very tolerant to pollution. Species of *Oscillatoria* appeared to be well adapted to the pollutants as observed by Taylor et al²⁶. Among the 20 taxa, *Anabaena constricta*, *Oscillatoria chlorina*, *O. laetevirens* var. *minimus*, *O. limosa*, *O.*

Table 1. The range and average values of physico-chemical parameters of effluent from KMML during September, 2007 to August, 2009.

| Sl. No | Parameter* | | Range | Average |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| 1 | Temp. (°C) | Atmosphere | 28.3-34.8 | 30.17 |
| | | Surface water | 26.1-33 | 28.68 |
| 2 | pH | | 6.3-7.2 | 6.94 |
| 3 | Phenolphthalein Alkalinity | | 0-0 | 0 |
| 4 | Total alkalinity | | 2.0-2.40 | 75.83 |
| 5 | Free CO ₂ | | 4.4-13.2 | 5.75 |
| 6 | DO | | 0-8.2 | 2.50 |
| 7 | BOD | | 11-40 | 25.01 |
| 8 | COD | | 260-380 | 286.2 |
| 9 | Nitrate | | 0.42-1.91 | 0.897 |
| 10 | Phosphate | | 0.32-2.4 | 0.89 |
| 11 | Sulphate | | 64.8-561.6 | 243.9 |
| 12 | TDS | | 143-3826 | 1282.10 |
| 13 | Chloride | | 42.6-1192 | 295.52 |
| 14 | Total Hardness | | 30-2200 | 672.08 |
| 15 | Calcium | | 9.62-922 | 194.09 |
| 16 | Iron | | 9.4-14.2 | 11.1 |

*All parameters except temperature and pH are expressed in mg/l.

Table 2. Heavy metals and fluoride content in the effluent (June, 2009)

| Sl. No | Parameters | Recorded values |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Heavy metals (mg/l) | |
| | a. Lead | 0.2 |
| | b. Cadmium | BDL |
| | c. Copper | BDL |
| | d. Zinc | 0.3 |
| e. Chromium | 0.2 | |
| 2. | Fluoride (mg/l) | 0.2 |

BDL- Below detectable level.

subbrevis, *O. tenuis*, *Phormidium tenue*, *Euglena agilis*, *Cyclotella meneghiniana*, *Navicula rhyncocephala*, *Nitzschia amphioxiodes*, and *Nitzschia palea* have been recorded as pollution tolerant taxa^{27,28}.

Thirteen ciliated Protozoans viz. *Didinium balbiani*, *D. nasutum*, *Coleps hirtus*, *Lionotus fasciola*, *Trachelius gutta*, *Nassula* sp., *Chilodontopsis bengalensis*, *Microthorax* sp., *Paramecium aurelia*, *Colpoda macallanus*, *Frontonia leucas*, *Tetrahymena geleii* and *Tricentrum turbo* were encountered from the effluent.

The results of the present investigation revealed that the effluent contained objectionable amounts of total hardness, chlorides, sulphate, TDS, BOD, COD, iron, lead

and chromium and low DO. The percolation of the effluent degrades the ground water quality. Therefore, appropriate steps may be taken for the management of waste water.

List of Algal species recorded from the effluent canal:

1. *Anabaena constricta* Szafer
2. *Oscillatoria chlorina* Kuetz. ex Gomont
3. *O. laetevirens* var. *minimus* Biswas
4. *O. limosa* Ag. ex. Gom.
5. *O. raoi* De Toni
6. *O. subbrevis* Schmidle
7. *O. tenuis* Ag. ex. Gom.
8. *Phormidium tenue* (Menegh.) Gom.
9. *Lyngbya stagina* Kuetz.

10. *Euglina agilis* Carter
11. *E. cingula* Gojdics
12. *Trachelomonas hispida* (Perty) Stein var. *hispida* Defl.
13. *Trachelomonas volvocina* Ehr. var. *volvocina* Comforti et Tell
14. *Cyclotella meneghiniana* Kuetz.
15. *Navicula capitata* Ehr.
16. *N. rhyncocephala* Kuetz.
17. *Nitzschia levidensis* (W. Smith) Grun.
18. *Nitzschia amphioxoides* Hust.
19. *Nitzschia obtuse* W. Smith var. *scalpelliformis* Grun.
20. *N. palea* (Kuetz.) W. Smith.

Acknowledgement

One of the authors (BSS) expresses sincere gratitude to UGC for financial assistance.

References

1. Subba Rao C and Subba Rao N V 1995, Ground water quality in residential colony. *Ind. J. Environ. Hlth.* 37 295-300.
2. Tyagi P, Buddhi D, Chaudhary R and Sawhney R L 2002, Degradation of ground water quality in industrial areas of India-A review. *Ind. J. Environ. Protect.* 20 174-181.
3. APHA 2005, *Standard methods for examinations of water and waste water*. 21st edition, American Public Health Association, Washington D.C, USA.
4. Desikachary TV 1959, *Cyanophyta*, ICAR, New Delhi, 686 pp.
5. Asaul Z I 1975, *Euglenophyta of the Ukrainian RSR* - Naukor Dumka Kier p 408 pp.
6. Huber-Pestalozzi G 1961, *Das phytoplankton des surwassers systematic and biologic*, Teil 5, *Chlorophyceae* (Grunalgen). *Ordnung Volvocale in Theinemann (ed.) Die Binnengewasser* 16(5) 744 pp.
7. Needham J G and Needham P R 1972, *Guide to the study of Fresh water Biology*. Holdenday, Inc. San Francisco.
8. Corliss J O 1979, *The Ciliated Protozoa : Characterization, classification and guide to the Literature*. 2nd Edn. Pergamon Press, New York, pp. 454.
9. Sunkad B N and Patil H S 2004, Water quality assessment of Fort lake of Belgaum (Karnataka) with special reference to zooplankton. *J. Environ. Biol.* 25 99-102.
10. BIS 1991, *Indian Standard Specification for Drinking water*. BIS, New Delhi.
11. WHO 1992, *Guideline for Drinking Water Quality*, 2nd Edn. WHO, Geneva.
12. Meenakumari H R 2008, Assessment of drinking water quality in bore wells of Mysore city, Karnataka, India. *Indian J. Environ. and Ecoplan.* 15 193-198.
13. Chanu E K and Devi G A S 2008, Physico-chemical characteristics in relation to pollution of water of Iril river, Manipur, India. *Indian. J. Environ. and Ecoplan.* 15 225-228.
14. Unni K S 1972, An ecological study of the macrophytic vegetation of Doodhadhari lake, Raipur (MP): 3 -chemical factors. *Hydrobiologia* 40 25-36
15. Jameed A 1998, Physico-chemical studies in Vyakondan channel water of Cauvery. *J. Poll. Res.* 17(2) 114.
16. Garge S K 1998, *Sewage disposal and soil pollution engineering*. Environmental Engineering, Val. II, 11th Edn. Khanana Publications. pp. 188-189.
17. Chandrashekar J S, Lenin Babu L and Somashekar R K 2003, Impact of urbanization on Bellandur lake, Bangalore - A case study. *J. Environ. Biol.* 24 223-227.
18. Shafi C, Suvridha S and Bindu L 2008, A short term assessment of water quality of Ayroor river (Kerala) with special reference to zooplankton diversity. *J. Environ. & Sociobiol.* 5 157-163.
19. Agarwal G D and Kannan G K 1996, Degradation of river to diffused activities and appropriate approach for management - A case study of river Mandakini. *J. TAEM.* 23 113-121.
20. Ranu G, Singh O U, Tandon S N and Mathur 1991, A study of water quality and metal speciation of Yamuna River. *Asia Environ.* 13 3-10.
21. Kannan K 1991, *Fundamentals of environmental pollution*. S Chand and Co. Ltd., Publ., New Delhi.
22. Devi L C, Koijam K K and Singh M B 2008, A study on fluoride contents of the ground water of Bishenpur District, Manipur. *Indian J. Environ. & Ecoplan.* 15 255-258.
23. Clark R B 2001, *Marine pollution*, 5th edition Oxford University Press, London, p 121.
24. O'Brien J J, Cerjak S and Patierno S R 2003, Complexities of chromium carnocigensis : Role of cellular response, repair and recovery mechanism. *Mutat. Res.* 53 2-26.
25. Palmer C M 1959, *Algae in water supplies*. US Dept. Hlth, Educ. Welf. Pub. Hlth. Serv. Bur State Services Div. Water Pollution Control, Robt. A. Jaft. San. Eugr. Center. Cincinnati, Ohio, Pub, Hlth. Serv. Publ., No. 657, 88 pp.
26. Taylor R T, Groba B T and Majeswaki A 1997, Effect of wastes from phosphate I fertilizer production on the Martwa Wisla river. *Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol.* 24 155-175.
27. Palmer C M 1980, *Algae and Water pollution*. Castle House publishers, Ltd. USA.
28. Shaji C and Patel R J 1995, Algal flora of an effluent canal at Nandesari Industrial area, Gujarat. *Geobios New Reports* 14 45-47.