

EFFECT OF CERTAIN PHENOLICS ON SENESCENCE OF RADISH (*RAPHANUS SATIVUS L.*) LEAF DISCS

V.S.NISHAKARA CHARY AND S.SEETA RAM RAO

Department of Botany, Osmania University, Hyderabad - 500 007, A.P., India.

Effect of p-hydroxybenzoic acid, protocatechuic acid, gentisic acid, m-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, t-cinnamic acid, scopoletin, juglone, quercetin and 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde on senescence of radish leaf discs was studied. The senescence was evaluated in terms of chlorophyll, nucleic acid and protein contents. All the compounds stimulated senescence. Among these compounds, t-cinnamic acid, juglone, ferulic acid and p-hydroxybenzoic acid were found to be most effective in inducing senescence.

Keywords: Leaf discs; Phenolics; Radish; Senescence.

Introduction

The physiological process of senescence is under the influence of phytohormones.¹ Phenolic compounds are fast emerging as non-hormonal plant growth regulators.^{2,3} In addition to regulating growth, phenolics also regulate various other processes like seed germination, rhizogenesis, flowering, stomatal movement and ion uptake.³ In the present study, the effect of some phenolic compounds on senescence of radish (*Raphanus sativus L.*) leaf discs was investigated.

Material and Methods

Raphanus sativus L. var. Japanese White plants were raised in the field. 18 mm diameter leaf discs were taken from 30 day old plants using cork borer. The leaf discs were washed thoroughly with sterile distilled water. Eleven leaf discs were put in Petriplate (10 cm diameter) provided with Whatman No.1 filter paper. 5 ml of test solution was added to each Petriplate. Each compound

was tried at 4 concentration levels viz., 10^{-6} , 10^{-5} , 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} M. The Petriplates were kept under continuous fluorescent illumination. After 4 days, the senescence of the leaf discs was recorded in terms of chlorophyll, nucleic acid and protein contents. Chlorophylls were estimated by Arnon⁴ method. DNA and RNA were separated from alcohol homogenate of leaf discs by the procedure described by Jayaraman⁵, RNA was estimated adopting the process of Schneider⁶ and DNA by the method of Burton.⁷ Total nitrogen was estimated by microkjeldal procedure⁸ and total protein was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen value with 6.25.

Results and Discussion

All the compounds tested reduced the levels of chlorophylls (Table 1). Among all the compounds, t-cinnamic acid, juglone and ferulic acid were proved to be most effective in decreasing the levels of chlorophylls. The phenolic compounds decreased the levels

Table 1. Effect of phenolic compounds on the chlorophyll content of *Raphanus sativus* L. leaf discs.

S. Compound No.	Chlorophyll 'a' ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{f.w.}$) [*]		Chlorophyll 'b' ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{f.w.}$) [*]		Total Chlorophyll ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{f.w}$)							
	10^{-6} m	10^{-5} m	10^{-6} m	10^{-5} m	10^{-6} m	10^{-5} m						
1. P-Hydroxybenzoic acid	391±15.6	344±20.4	313±15.0	309±13.3	184±08.0	168±09.2	125±07.4	104±06.3	575±16.3	512±13.9	438±16.3	413
2. Protocatechuic acid	386±13.7	357±13.1	334±16.1	303±11.9	175±10.6	164±09.5	150±09.4	107±07.6	561±24.2	521±22.6	484±24.9	41C
3. Gentisic acid	380±12.3	333±13.7	299±12.9	265±11.9	177±10.5	161±10.1	141±08.7	121±07.8	557±22.8	494±23.0	440±19.0	386
4. m-Coumaric acid	385±12.6	356±09.8	323±10.5	271±08.9	186±10.4	175±08.1	143±07.3	119±05.6	571±24.6	531±17.6	466±17.5	39C
5. Ferulic acid	375±14.8	346±09.3	316±06.7	281±09.7	168±06.9	157±06.2	102±07.6	082±05.8	543±21.3	503±15.5	418±14.1	363
6. t-Cinnamic acid	324±11.6	279±09.9	208±07.8	169±09.2	132±09.2	105±06.5	087±05.5	058±05.7	456±21.2	384±16.4	295±12.8	227
7. Scopoletin	378±09.6	356±10.2	326±12.4	299±08.9	189±09.1	175±08.3	164±05.8	141±06.3	567±16.8	531±15.5	490±17.1	44C
8. Juglone	323±11.5	275±10.3	265±07.7	221±08.5	143±08.5	139±07.7	121±09.0	082±05.3	466±19.7	414±17.4	386±15.6	303
9. Quercetin	380±08.0	326±09.6	294±13.4	266±09.3	177±12.7	164±10.3	132±12.3	109±06.2	557±20.7	490±19.7	426±25.5	37E
10. 3,4 Dihydroxy benzaldehyde	353±11.7	326±13.4	309±09.5	294±07.2	198±10.9	164±12.5	159±11.2	132±09.3	551±17.6	490±19.3	468±15.6	42E
11. Control					401±20.4				202±12.3			603

* Mean ± S.E.

Table 2. Effect of phenolic Compounds on the nucleic acid contents of *Raphanus sativus* L. leaf discs.

S. Compound No.	RNA ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{f.w.}$) [*]			DNA ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{f.w.}$) [*]				
	10^{-6} m	10^{-5} m	10^{-4} m	10^{-6} m	10^{-5} m	10^{-4} m		
1. P-Hydroxybenzoic acid	1687±15	1500±13	1387±13	1312±08	675±21	563±12	525±11	468±09
2. Protocatechuic acid	1612±07	1163±08	975±10	785±06	625±11	468±14	375±11	300±08
3. Gentisic acid	1615±05	1465±05	1355±06	1127±04	625±12	562±09	487±11	431±14
4. m-Coumaric acid	1315±11	1205±09	1125±08	1013±05	475±06	450±04	387±07	362±12
5. Ferulic acid	1210±03	1130±04	1015±06	902±06	437±13	400±16	375±10	337±14
6. t-Cinnamic acid	938±06	825±04	750±05	675±03	356±09	300±10	250±08	212±08
7. Scopoletin	1580±29	1365±25	1140±24	1026±18	595±23	488±15	430±27	400±19
8. Juglone	1050±15	938±10	825±07	675±09	425±12	375±08	300±06	287±06
9. Quercetin	1052±13	940±06	790±08	710±04	412±13	350±11	300±06	250±08
10. 3,4 Dihydroxy benzaldehyde	1657±13	1497±18	1320±14	1210±11	625±09	563±12	469±08	438±15
11. Control			2025±16					750±13

* Mean ± S.E.

TABLE 3. Effect of phenolic compounds on the total protein content of *Raphanus sativus* L. leaf discs.

S. No.	Compound	Total Protein (mg g ⁻¹ f. w.)*			
		10 ⁻⁶ M	10 ⁻⁵ M	10 ⁻⁴ M	10 ⁻³ M
1.	p-Hydroxybenzoic acid	25.18±1.51	19.56±1.53	16.75±1.52	11.18±1.04
2.	Protocatechuic acid	37.75±1.30	28.00±1.55	23.75±1.86	19.56±1.04
3.	Gentisic acid	39.18±1.03	33.56±1.16	25.18±1.35	21.00±1.05
4.	m-Coumaric acid	37.75±0.96	33.56±0.67	29.37±1.02	19.56±0.70
5.	Ferulic acid	36.37±2.32	28.00±1.00	23.75±1.29	16.75±0.83
6.	t-Cinnamic acid	30.75±0.96	26.56±1.00	22.37±1.14	16.75±1.25
7.	Scopoletin	39.37±1.23	37.75±1.35	34.06±1.41	26.56±0.93
8.	Juglone	22.37±1.53	19.56±0.92	18.18±1.30	12.56±1.20
9.	Quercetin	32.18±0.87	29.37±1.31	25.18±0.74	22.37±1.18
10.	3, 4 Dihydroxy-benzaldehyde	39.25±1.05	32.19±1.17	26.62±0.89	21.06±0.97
11.	Control		44.75±1.59		

* Mean ± S. E.

of RNA and DNA (Table 2). t-Cinnamic acid, protocatechuic acid, juglone and quercetin were most effective in decreasing the nucleic acids level. A depression in the levels of total proteins was observed (Table 3). p-Hydroxybenzoic acid, juglone and ferulic acid lowered the contents of proteins to a maximum extent.

In earlier studies, gentisic acid⁹, scopoletin¹⁰, juglone¹¹, ferulic acid and coumaric acid¹² were found to be growth inhibiting. In the present study, all these compounds were found to be stimulating senescence. Thimann¹ suggested a

relationship between senescence of leaves and the opening and closure of stomata and that several senescence inducing substances also act as inducers of stomatal closure. Some of the phenolics, scopoletin, chlorogenic acid were found to induce stomatal closure in tobacco and sun flower¹³ Rao¹⁴ found ferulic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-hydroxybenzoic acid and gentisic acid inhibiting stomatal closure in *Commelina benghalensis* epidermal peelings. This study presents a case for the inclusion of certain phenolic compounds to the list of growth regulators which stimulate the process of senescence.

References

1. Thimann K V 1980, In : *Senescence in plants*. K V Thimann (ed). C.R.C. Press Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, p85.
2. Kefeli V I 1984, *Biol. Rev.* 59 273
3. Letham DS 1978, In: *Phytohormones and related compounds: A comprehensive treatise*. D S Letham, P B Goodwin and T J V Higgins (eds). Elsevier/North Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam, 1, p349
4. Amon D I 1949, *Plant Physiol.* 24 1
5. Jayaraman J 1985, *Laboratory Manual in Biochemistry* Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi, p112
6. Schneider WC 1957, In: *Methods in Enzymology* S P Clockwick and N O Kaplan (eds). Academic Press, New York, 3, p680
7. Burton K 1968, In: *Methods in Enzymology* L Grossman and K Meldave (eds). Academic Press, New York, 12 p163
8. AOAC 1950, *Official and tentative methods of analysis* 7th Edition, Washington, D.C., p910
9. Gesto MD, Vasquez A, Mendez J, Vietez E and Seoane E 1967, *Phytochemistry* 6 1687
10. Einhellig F A, Rice E L, Risser P G and Wender S H 1970, *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 97 22
11. Klusak A 1976, *Biologia (Brazil)* 31 273
12. Zenk M H and Muller G 1963, *Nature (London)* 200 761
13. Einhellig F A and Kuan L 1971, *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 98 155
14. Rao S S R 1990, *Vegetos* 3 139