

## STIMULATORY EFFECT OF EARTHWORM BODY FLUID (VERMIWASH) ON SEED GERMINATION AND SEEDLING GROWTH OF TWO LEGUMES

SURENDRA SUTHAR<sup>1</sup>, RAJA RAM CHOYAL<sup>2</sup>, SUSHMA SINGH<sup>3</sup> and SUDESH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Biology Lab, Department of Zoology, M. D. (PG) College, Sri Ganganagar-335 001, India.

<sup>2</sup>Plant Ecology Lab, Department of Botany, M. D. (PG) College, Sri Ganganagar-335 001, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, N. M. (PG) College, Hanumangarh-335513, India.

E-mail: sutharss\_soilbiology@yohoo.co.in

The aim of present study was to evaluate the stimulatory effect of earthworm body fluid (vermiwash) on seed germination and seedling growth of two legumes: *Vigna radiata* and *Vigna aconitifolia* under laboratory conditions. The experiment was also run using urea (0.05 %) solution and water for comparison. In this study greater seed germination in *V. radiata* and *V. aconitifolia* was recorded with T<sub>2</sub> treatment (100 % vermiwash) and it was statistically different (P<0.001) from other treatments. The greater value of root and shoot length in *V. radiata* was registered better with T<sub>1</sub> (50 % vermiwash) treatment. While *V. aconitifolia* showed greater values of root and shoot length in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> treatment, respectively. So vermiwash could be excellent bioresource of plant nutrient/growth promoter for sustainable plant production at eco-friendly basis.

**Keywords:** Earthworm; Legume; *Metaphire posthuma* (Vailant); Vermiwash; *Vigna radiata*; *Vigna aconitifolia*.

### Introduction

Plant growth promoter plays an important role in vegetative growth and maturation of plants. There are several natural as well as artificial chemical plant stimulator/growth promoters are available. However, the application of these chemicals is not eco-friendly and when they are maintained indoors, the use of chemicals will not be accepted by environmentalists<sup>1</sup>. Organic agriculture is one among the broad spectrum of production methods that are supportive on the environment<sup>2</sup>. The plant nutrient inputs in organic farming mainly rely on biologically derived nutrients instead of using readily soluble forms of nutrients.

Most experiment on cropping cycles show significant effect of earthworm activities on plant production. These effects seem to be proportional to earthworm biomass<sup>3</sup>. Earthworms are one of the most useful and active agent in introducing suitable chemical, physical and microbiological changes in the soil and, thereby, directly increasing the fertility and crop producing power in the soil<sup>4</sup>.

Vermicomposting is the biodegradation and instabilization of organic residues through the ingestion and egestion by earthworm. Much effort has been made to study the biological potential of earthworm to break down the organic residues<sup>5-12</sup>. Organic wastes are candidates for transformation from expensive disposal problem to suitable vermistabilized humus for use in food production and

reforestation; the earthworm is uniquely designed for this role<sup>13</sup>.

Earthworm considered a better candidate for producing phytohormones. A number of the species of plants followed significantly different growth pattern in vermicompost and that indicates a possible phytohormone effects of worm cast<sup>10</sup>. Krishnamoorthy and Vajranrabhaian<sup>14</sup> demonstrated some plant growth promoters such as cytokinnis and auxins in worm cast. Similarly, Mascolo *et al*<sup>15</sup> reported auxin-like effects of earthworm worked humic matter on cell growth and nitrogen metabolism in *Daucus carota*. Nielson<sup>16</sup> stated that the presence of plant-growth-promoting compounds elaborated by earthworm promote a significant increase in plant growth and N uptake. In this paper effort has been made to evaluate the stimulatory effect of earthworm body fluids or coelomic fluid on seed germination and growth of seedlings of two legumes under laboratory condition.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out in Environment Biology Lab, Department of Zoology, M. D. (PG) College, Sri Ganganagar, India, under open environmental conditions at 28.4 ± 0.1 °C temperature.

**Vermiwash preparation :-** For vermiwash preparation earthworms (*Metaphire posthuma* (Vailant)) were collected from permanent sewage sludge in campus of M. D. (PG) College, Sri Ganganagar, India, by hand sorting methods.

Healthy clitellated and large sized earthworms were collected and for stock earthworms were cultured on organic rich waste resources (leaf litter + cow dung + garden soil). After acquiring appropriate population, earthworms were used for experiments. Vermiwash was prepared by using method as described by Karuna *et al.* About 1 kg earthworms were separated from stock culture and placed in plastic tray for 15-20 minutes to clear out the cast material. Earthworms then carefully removed from their casting material and then added in to glass beaker containing 500 ml distilled water having 40 °C temperature. The worms were agitated for 5-7 minutes and removed and added into another plastic container containing water at room temperature to rinse them thoroughly to collect the exudates adhering to its body wall before releasing back to the stock culture tanks. The light yellow contents of glass beaker and plastic container were mixed to use for experimentation. The collected vermiwash was used in two different concentrations for experimentation i.e. 100 % (without any further dilution), and 50 % (diluted as one part of collected exudates and one part of distilled water). Two another treatments comprised of distilled water and urea solution (0.05 %) were also run for comparative study.

**Seedling growth experiment design** :- The seed of studied plant species were obtained from New Punjab Seed Co., Sriganganagar. The M. H. 2 variety of *Vigna radiata* and R-MO 40 variety of *Vigna aconitifolia* were used in experimentation. For germination experiment twenty uniform and healthy seeds of each legume were separated by hand sorting. Seeds were rinsed with 0.1 % mercuric chloride solution for 3 minutes and washed thrice in distilled water to remove surface fungi and other microorganisms. Seed germination trial was performed in sterilized petriplates, absorbent cotton and blotting paper were used. For each treatment including control (distilled water and urea solution), five replicates were taken and seeds were allowed to germinate up to 72 hrs in humid condition at a room temperature  $31.3 \pm 0.6$  °C. After 72 hrs of dark incubation seed germination was counted in percentage. For further seedling growth trials germinated seed were transferred in to plastic containers of 5-liter capacity containing garden soil. Sieved garden soil was filled in these containers and germinated seed of each legume were sowed in these plastic containers. Each treatment (100 % vermiwash, 50 % vermiwash, 0.05 % urea solution, and distilled water) was replicated three times. Each experimental container was irrigated weekly by normal tap water. The germinated seeds were allowed to grow under illuminated conditions till they achieve the length up to 5-10 cm. Afterward vermiwash was used as foliar spray by using fine jet hand sprayer on leaf surface of legumes. The spray was given at 3 days interval, for about 15 days. After 15

days of spraying the plant parameters i.e. root length, shoot length, and number of leaves per plant was calculated.

**Statistical analysis** :- Data were subjected for analysis of variance. The significant difference between different treatments was analyzed by applying Tukey's Post-Hock test.

### Results and Discussion

As data indicate percent seed germination in *Vigna radiata* ( $F = 14.97$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) and *Vigna aconitifolia* ( $F = 8.57$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) showed statistical significant variation between different treatments. In *V. radiata* the maximum and minimum seed germination was recorded with  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  treatment, respectively (Table 1).  $T_2$  treatment showed about 48 %, 91 %, and 48 % higher germination from that of  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$ , and  $T_4$  treatments, respectively. Similarly in *V. aconitifolia* the maximum and minimum seed germination was recorded with  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  treatment, respectively (Table 1). In *V. aconitifolia*  $T_2$  treatment showed about 21 %, 63 %, and 27 % higher seed germination from that of  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$ , and  $T_4$ , respectively. Since in *V. radiata* seed germination between  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  did not show statistical ( $P = 0.061$ ) difference.

In *V. radiata* different treatment caused significant effect on root length ( $F = 75.77$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ), and shoot length ( $F = 56.01$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) of seedling (Table 2). Number of leaves per plant did not show statistical difference ( $P = 0.752$ ) between different treatments. In *V. radiata* greater and lower value of root length was registered with  $T_1$  (4.48 cm), and it was about 98 %, 107 %, and 133 % higher from that of  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ , and  $T_4$  treatment, respectively (Table 2). Number of leaves in seedling was recorded equal in all treatments (Table 2). Similarly greater shoot value in  $T_1$  (13.86 cm) was about 6 %, 15 %, and 35 % higher from that of  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_4$  treatment, respectively (Table 2). In *V. radiata* the minimum values of both root (1.92 cm) and shoot (10.24 cm) was recorded with  $T_4$  treatment (Table 2).

During present study *V. aconitifolia* also showed statistical significant difference for root length ( $F = 92.68$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and shoot length ( $F = 44.85$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) with different treatments. The greater value of root length (3.54 cm) was registered with  $T_2$  treatment, and it was about 8 %, 72 %, and 113 % higher from that of  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$ , and  $T_4$  treatment, respectively (Table 3). Nevertheless, *V. aconitifolia* showed greater shoot length (13.42 cm) with  $T_1$  treatment, and it was about 5 %, 12 %, and 35 % higher as compared to  $T_1$ ,  $T_3$ , and  $T_4$  treatment, respectively. The lower values of both root (1.66 cm) and shoot length (9.92 cm) was registered with  $T_4$  treatment (Table 3).

Several studies have demonstrated that worm cast can improve soil properties and increase agriculture production. Increased nutrient turnover from earthworm activities usually results in increased plant growth. Nijhawan and Kanwar<sup>17</sup> observed that application of

earthworm cast to wheat increased the plant height, number of tillers, number of leaves, early ear-heading, ear-head length and dry matter per plant than control. Tomati *et al*<sup>18</sup> reported that earthworm cast which is rich in available nutrient increased the plant growth and yield of crop. Edwards and Burrows<sup>19</sup> concluded that seedlings emergence of tomato, cabbage, and radish was much better in vermicompost than in thermophilically composted animal waste and as good and usually better in vermicompost than in a commercial medium. In addition, early growth of ornamental seedlings was as good or better in vermicompost/peat mixtures than in the commercial plant growth media. Grappeli *et al*<sup>20</sup> found that worm cast when used as manure increased height of plants, leaf area index, number of branches, stem girth and yield in respect to plants like Saliva and Aster in pots. Earthworm activated soil or worm cast apart from providing the essential nutrients to plants activates the microbial populations<sup>21</sup>. Earthworm activity is often credited with increased crop growth and increased root growth is often indicated as one of the reasons for the yield response. Pot studies have shown positive response of plants to earthworm inoculation at high rates and the greater increase of root growth occurred

where worms are most active<sup>22</sup>.

Earthworm increases the quality of mineralized nitrogen and makes it available for plant growth. Aldag and Graft<sup>23</sup> compared the growth of oat seedlings on brown podsol soil that have been treated with *E. fetida* for 8 days within same soil without worms. They recorded 7-8 % dry matter of oat seedlings in the soil with earthworms and the total protein was 21 % more. Atlavinyte *et al*<sup>24</sup> have reported increased microbial population and B<sub>12</sub> level in the soil due to earthworm activity.

The coelome of earthworm is filled by a milky white solution termed as coelomic fluid, and this fluid poured out due to some disturbance to animal. The coelomic fluid contains excellent concentration of phosphatase, sulphates and chlorides of potassium, sodium and magnesium. Similarly a great concentration of urea as well ammonia also find in this fluid. Due to higher level of plant growth components/growth regulators vermiwash can be acted as better plant growth media. Karuna *et al*<sup>1</sup> reported significant effect of different concentration of vermiwash on growth and production of *Anthurium andreaunum*. They concluded that soluble salts of earthworm body fluid showed more effectiveness after dilution by water due to improved

**Table 1.** Seed germination in different treatments (mean ± SEM, n = 5).

| Treatment                      | <i>Vigna radiata</i>       | <i>Vigna aconitifolia</i>  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> 50 % vermiwash  | 58.66 ± 11.46 <sup>a</sup> | 62.67 ± 9.98 <sup>ab</sup> |
| T <sub>2</sub> 100 % vermiwash | 86.67 ± 5.96 <sup>b</sup>  | 76.0 ± 9.97 <sup>b</sup>   |
| T <sub>3</sub> urea (0.05 %)   | 45.33 ± 11.47 <sup>a</sup> | 46.67 ± 5.97 <sup>a</sup>  |
| T <sub>4</sub> water           | 58.67 ± 4.99 <sup>a</sup>  | 60.05 ± 5.97 <sup>ab</sup> |

Mean values followed by different letters are statistically different (ANOVA, Tukey's test; P<0.05)

**Table 2.** Impact of different treatments on root and shoot length of *Vigna radiata* (mean ± SEM, n = 5).

| Treatment                      | Root (cm)                | Shoot (cm)                | Number of leaves        |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> 50 % vermiwash  | 4.48 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 13.86 ± 0.41 <sup>c</sup> | 2.00 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup> |
| T <sub>2</sub> 100 % vermiwash | 2.26 ± 0.34 <sup>a</sup> | 13.04 ± 0.48 <sup>c</sup> | 2.00 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup> |
| T <sub>3</sub> urea (0.05 %)   | 2.16 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup> | 12.04 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup> | 2.00 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup> |
| T <sub>4</sub> water           | 1.92 ± 0.36 <sup>a</sup> | 10.24 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup> | 2.00 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup> |

Mean values followed by different letters are statistically different (ANOVA, Tukey's test; P<0.05)

**Table 3.** Impact of different treatments on root and shoot length of *Vigna aconitifolia* (mean ± SEM, n = 5).

| Treatment                      | Root (cm)                | Shoot (cm)                | Number of leaves         |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> 50 % vermiwash  | 3.28 ± 0.19 <sup>c</sup> | 12.78 ± 0.41 <sup>c</sup> | 2.20 ± 0.40 <sup>a</sup> |
| T <sub>2</sub> 100 % vermiwash | 3.54 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup> | 13.42 ± 0.41 <sup>c</sup> | 2.00 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup>  |
| T <sub>3</sub> urea (0.05 %)   | 2.06 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup> | 12.00 ± 0.59 <sup>b</sup> | 2.20 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup>  |
| T <sub>4</sub> water           | 1.66 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup> | 9.92 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.00 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup>  |

Mean values followed by different letters are statistically different (ANOVA, Tukey's test; P<0.05)

absorption efficiency of leaves on reducing the concentration of the components. In contrast to above report during present study we achieved maximum seed germination as well as growth parameters in concentrated vermiwash (100 %) solution except to *Vigna radiata* which showed greater shoot and root length in diluted vermiwash (50 %). In *Vigna aconitifolia* both root and shoot length were greater in 100 % vermiwash treatment, but it was not statistically different from that of 50 % vermiwash treatment. The seed germination in concentrated vermiwash treatment could be explained in terms of ion (Na, K, Ca, Mg) and nitrogenous compounds (NH<sub>3</sub> and urea) concentration in it. Besides, urea, ammonia and phenols the wormcast contained plant growth promoters such as cytokinins and auxins<sup>14</sup>. A number of the species of plants followed significantly different growth pattern in worm worked compounds and that indicates a possible phytohormone effects of worms<sup>10</sup>. Similarly, Mascolo et al<sup>15</sup> reported auxin-like effects of earthworm worked humic matter on cell growth and nitrogen metabolism in *Daucus carota*. Nielson<sup>16</sup> stated that the presence of plant-growth-promoting compounds elaborated by earthworm promote a significant increase in plant growth and N uptake.

#### References

- Karuna K, Patil C R, Narayanswamy P and Kale R D 1999, Stimulatory effects of earthworm body fluids (vermiwash) on Crinkle red variety of *Anthurium andreaeanum* Lind. *Crop Res.* **17**(2) 253-257.
- Ramesh P, Singh M and Rao S 2005, Organic farming: Its relevance to the Indian context. *Curr. Sci.* **88** (4) 561-568.
- Lavelle P 1994, Faunal activities and soil processes: Adaptive strategies that determine ecosystem function. Pp. 189-220. In: *Transactions of the 15<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Soil Sciences*. 1555, Acapulco, Mexico.
- Joshi N V and Kelkar B V 1951, The role of earthworms in soil fertility. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* Vol. xxii (iv) 189-196.
- Edwards C A and Lofty J R 1977, *Biology of Earthworms*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Satchell J E 1983, *Earthworm Ecology from Darwin to Vermiculture*. Chapman and Hall, London, 495 pp.
- Lee K E 1985, Earthworms: their ecology and relationships with soils and land use. Academic press, New York, 411 pp.
- Doube B M, Stephens P M, Devoren C W and Rydev M H 1994, Interactions between earthworms, beneficial soil microorganisms and root pathogens. *Applied Soil Ecology* **1** 3-10.
- Edwards C A 1988, Breakdown of animal, vegetable and industrial wastes by earthworms. In: *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management* (Edwards C A and Neuhauser E P eds.) 21-31 pp. S.P.B. Academic, The Hague.
- Edwards C A 1998, The use of earthworms in the breakdown and management of organic waste. In: Edwards, C.A. (ed.) *Earthworm Ecology*. St. Lucie Press, Boca Raton, pp. 327-354.
- Bano K and Kale R D 1984, A paper presented to *National Seminar on Organic Waste Utilization and Vermicomposting*, School of Life Sciences, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Orissa, 5-8 Dec.
- Suthar S S 2002, *Earthworm Resources and Their Utilization in Vermitechnology in Desert Region of Rajasthan*. Ph. D. Thesis, J.N.V. University, Jodhpur, India.
- Livingstone D 1991, Earth preservation, restoration, conservation using the earthworms. In: *Advances in Management and Conservation of Soil Fauna* (eds. Veeresh G K, Rajagopal D and Viraktamath C A) Oxford & IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi 851-854.
- Krishnamoorthy R V and Vajranabhaian S N 1986, Biological activity of earthworm casts. An assessment of plant growth promoter or levels in the casts. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci (Anim. Sci.)* **95**(3) 341-351.
- Mascolo A, Bovalo F, Gionfriddo F and Nardi S 1999, Earthworm humic matter produces auxin like effects on *Daucus carota* cell growth and nitrogen metabolism. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **31** 1303-1313.
- Nielson R L 1985, Presence of plant growth substances in earthworm demonstrated by paper chromatography and the Went Pea Test. *Nature* **208** (5015) 1113-1114.
- Nijhawan S D and Kanwar J S 1951, Physio-chemical properties of earthworm casting and their effect on the productivity of soil. *India J. Agril. Sci.* Vol. xxii (iv) 357-373.
- Tomati V, Grapelli A, Galli E and Rossi W 1983, Refertilizer from vermiculture as an option for organic waste recovery. *Agrochimica.* **27** 244-251.
- Edwards C A and Burrows I 1988, The potential of earthworm composts and plant growth media. In: *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management* (Edwards C A and Neuhauser I P eds.) pp. 211-217 SPB Academic. The Hague.
- Grappelli A, Tomati V and Galli E 1985, Earthworm casting in plant propagation. *Horti. Sci.* **20** 874-876.
- Kale R D, Bano K, Sreenivasa M N and Bagyaraj D J 1987, Influence of worm cast on the growth and mycorrhizal colonization of two ornamental plants. *South Indian Hort.* **35**(5) 433-437.
- Logsdon M and Linden J 1992, Earthworm influence on plant growth. *Soil Science* **154**(4) 330-337.
- Aldag R and Graft O 1974, *Z. landw. Forch.* **31**(11) 277-84.
- Atlavinyte O, Daciulyte J and Luganska A 1971, Correlation between the number of earthworm, microorganisms and B<sub>12</sub> in soil fertilized with straw. *Liet. TSR Moksulu. Akad. Darb., Ser. B* **3** 43-56.