## J. Phytol. Res. 23(1): 57-60, 2010

# PALYNOLOGICAL STUDIES IN SOME MEMBERS OF FABACEAE

#### A. PRAGASAM

Kanchi Mamunivar Center for Post Graduate Studies, Pondicherry- 605 008, India. Email: apragasam@gmail.com

The present work includes the study of pollen productivity, pollen viability, pollen to ovule ratio and pollen size of twenty three species of the family Fabaceae which are commonly occurring in Pondicherry region, an enclave of Pondicherry Union Territory. Pollen production per flower was maximum in *Crotalaria retusa* (1083295) and minimum in *Eleiotis monophylla* (11600). Pollen viability was maximum in *Crotalaria willdenowiana* and *Canavalia virosa* (96 percent) and minimum in *Desmodium triflorum* (81 percent). Pollen per ovule was more in *Rhyncosia capitata* (125400) and less in *Indigofera colutea* (1505). Largest pollen was encountered in *Alysicarpus rugosus* (85.248  $\mu$ m) and smallest in *Zornia gibbosa* (25.923  $\mu$ m). The study reveals that the parameters are useful in the identification of plant species and in the study of the richness of the population.

Keywords : Asteraceae; Palynological studies.

## Inyroduction

Pondicherry is a Union Territory of India bounded by the Bay of Bengal on the east and by the South Arcot district of Tamilnadu on all other sides. It is at the north between 11°46' and 12°3' latitudes and 79°36' and 79°53' of eastern longitude. The area of Pondicherry is 290 square kilometers of which 25,832-hectare land is under cultivation. The climate is dry except during the Northeast monsoon. The average annual rainfall was 170 mm for the year 2006-07. The temperature in winter ranged from 20-30°C and in summer from 30-33°C for the same period. The relative humidity of the atmosphere ranged from 75-90 percent.

The study of pollen morphology, productivity, wability and pollen ovule ratio is significant because it is useful in the studies of Taxonomy, Environmental biology and Plant breeding. Pollen profile of a particular area melects pattern of vegetation of that area. The plants moduce a large number of pollen grains most of which me not involved in fertilization but may float in air, function as bio-pollutant and cause allergic diseases 1.2. Pallen productivity is supposed to increase due to global warming and cause problem in human health and accomomy<sup>3</sup>. The productivity also has several potential implications. It could enhance the production of viable mets by increasing the percentage of fertilized ovules 4,5. sufficuesh the pollen productivity of a plant is influenced by various factors<sup>6</sup> and also varies periodically<sup>7</sup>, it is important for commercially valuable plants to have an stimute of the total production of pollen per plant, as the

productions of seeds usually depends on the production of pollen8. The decrease in the concentration of air borne pollen decreases the efficiency of wind pollination9. This may be due to large distance between the conspecifics, low pollen productivity and poor pollen dispersal. These conditions are assumed to affect both ovule fertilization and seed production negatively5. More pollen disseminated from multiple sources may also increase the rate of gene flow and could further reduce the rate of self pollination and enhance the production of viable seeds. As a result the number of pollen produced per flower and pollen viability may reflect the size of the population. The pollen to ovule ratio is another important factor which determines the density of population. The stigma usually attracts far more pollen than are needed to fertilize all ovules, leading to intra specific competition among plants to pollinate their neighbours. It may be considered as a better indicator of the plant breeding system.

The quality and quantity of pollen produced by an individual plant is influenced by various factors, which may vary from year to year. Human interference, encroachment and environmental changes pose threat to the richness of biodiversity. So it is inevitable to make a record of the vegetation of a particular area and create a gene bank. Fabaceae is the largest family among polypetalae and economically very important. So the present work has been taken up to study the pollen productivity, pollen viability, pollen to ovule ratio and pollen size of 23 herbaceous species of Fabaceae available in Pondicherry region.

#### Pragasam

| Table 1. Pollen productivity in some members of Fabaceae. |          |          |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SI Name of species  | Pollen / | Anthers/ | Pollen / |  |  |  |  |  |

| SI. Name of species                        | Pollen /<br>Anther | Anthers/<br>flower | Pollen /<br>flowers | Flowers /<br>inflorescence | Pollen /<br>inflorescence |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 Abrus precatorius L.                     | 8718               | 9(9+0)             | 78462               | 22.732±4.320               | 1783598                   |
| 2 Aeschynome indica L                      | 6272               | 10(5+5)            | 62720               | 6.432±2.614                | 403415                    |
| 2. Absiggrous regosus (Willd) DC           | 1613               | 10(9+1)            | 16130               | 10.654±3.211               | 171849                    |
| A Canavalia virosa (Roxh) & Arn            | 76932              | 10(10+0)           | 769320              | 18.619±4.396               | 14323969                  |
| 5. <i>Crotalaria pallida</i> Aiton.        | 135430*<br>18562#  | 10(10+0)           | 769960              | 50.260±7.375               | 38698189                  |
| 6. Crotalaria retusa L.                    | 194375*<br>22284#  | 10(10+0)           | 1083295             | 20.800±3.914               | 22532536                  |
| 7. Crotalaria verrucosa L.                 | 145832*<br>17057#  | 10(10+0)           | 814445              | 15.760±4.742               | 12835653                  |
| 8. Crotalaria willdenowiana DC.            | 52500*<br>9750#    | 10(10+0)           | 311250              | 6.910±2.738                | 2150737                   |
| 9. Desmodium loxiflorum DC.                | 2354               | 10(9+1)            | 23540               | 15.654±3.692               | 368495                    |
| 10. Desmodium triflorum (L) DC. 🕳          | 1916               | 10(9+1)            | 19160               | 3.000±0.000                | 57480                     |
| 11. Eleiotis monophylla (Burm.f.)DC.       | 1160               | 10(9+1)            | 11600               | 7.371±2.183                | 85492                     |
| 12. Heylandia latibrosa DC.                | 640.0*<br>1350#    | 10(9+1)            | 38750               | 1.000±0.000                | 38750                     |
| 13. Indigofera caerulea Roxb.              | 1479               | 10(9+1)            | 14790               | 18.325±4.364               | 271026                    |
| 14. Indigofera linnaei Ali.                | 2681               | . 10(9+1)          | 26810               | 9.247±2.831                | 247912                    |
| 15. Indigofera cotulea(Burm.f) Merr.       | 2108               | 10(9+1)            | 21080               | 10.781±2.219               | 227263                    |
| 16. Pseudarthria v iscida(L) Weight & Arn. | 2638               | 10(9+1)            | 26380               | 23.178±5.263               | 611435                    |
| 17. Rhynchosia capitata (Roth) DC.         | 25080              | 10(9+1)            | 250800              | 3.000±0.000                | 752400                    |
| 18. Rothia indica (L) Druce.               | 2391               | 10(10+0)           | 23910               | 2.000±0.000                | 47820                     |
| 19. Tephrosea purpurea (L) Pers.           | 2421               | 10(9+1)            | 24210               | 11.752±2.452               | 284515                    |
| 20, Tephrosea villosa (L) Pers.            | 2683               | 10(9+1)            | 26830               | 7.425±1.951                | 199212                    |
| 21. Vigna pilosa Bak.                      | 19140              | 10(9+1)            | 191400              | 17.663±3.793               | 3380698                   |
| 22. Vigna trilobata (L) Verdc.             | 3402               | 10(9+1)            | 34020               | 5.603±2.723                | 190614                    |
| 23. Zornia gibbosa Spanoghe.               | 1897               | 10(10+0)           | 18970               | 10.736±3.642               | 203661                    |

Note: Mean ± Standard Deviation; \* Long anther; # Short anther; Union of stamens in Parenthesis

# **Material and Methods**

Twenty three herbaceous species of the family Fabaceae which occur commonly in the Pondicherry region are chosen for the present study (Abrus precatorius L., Aeschynomene indica L., Alysicarpus rugosus (Willd) DC., Canavalia virosa (Roxb) Arn., Crotalaria pallida Aiton.., C. retusa L., C. verrucosa L., C. willdenowiana DC., Desmodium laxiflorum DC., D. triflorum (L)DC., Eleiotis monophylla (Burm.f.)DC., Heylandia latibrosa DC., Indigofera caerulea Roxb., I. linnaei Ali, I.colutea Roxb., Pseudorthria viscida (L)Wtight & Arn., Rhynchosia capitata (Roth)DC., Rothia indica (L)Druce.,

#### J. Phytol. Res. 23(1): 57-60, 2010

| SI.<br>No. | Name of species                       | Number of ovules<br>/ flowers | Pollen/ Ovule | Pollen<br>Viability % | Pollen size (µm)   |
|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1.         | Abrus precatorius. L.                 | 6.579 ± 1.436                 | 11926.128     | 90                    | 47.081 ± 4.532     |
| 2.         | Aeschynome indica L.                  | $22.454 \pm 4.643$            | 2793.266      | 94                    | 26.748 ± 2.482     |
| 3.         | Alysicarpus regosus (Willd)DC.        | $4.600 \pm 0.813$             | 3506.521      | 88                    | 85.248 ± 6.253     |
| 4.         | Canavalia virosa (Roxb) & Arn.        | $7.546 \pm 1.419$             | 101950.702    | 96                    | $55.106 \pm 4.937$ |
| 5.         | Crotalaria pallida Aiton.             | $54.750 \pm 8.007$            | 14063.196     | 91                    | 28.687 ± 2.739     |
| 6.         | Crotalaria retusa L.                  | 20.363 ±3.733                 | 53186.184     | 94                    | $27.450 \pm 2.518$ |
| 7.         | Crotalaria verrucosa L.               | 14.321 ±2.678                 | 56870. 764    | 92                    | $27.705 \pm 3.012$ |
| 8,         | Crotalaria willdenowiana DC.          | $1.564 \pm 0.406$             | 1564.679      | 96                    | $26.752 \pm 2.310$ |
| 9.         | Desmodium loxiflorum DC.              | $6.000 \pm 1.000$             | 3923.000      | 92                    | 34.166 ± 3.710     |
| 10.        | Desmodium triflorum (L) DC.           | 5.230 ±1.892                  | 3663.470      | 81                    | 36.953 ± 3.012     |
| 11.        | Eleiotis monophylla (Burm.f.)DC.      | $1.500 \pm 0.500$             | 7733.000      | 95                    | $37.717 \pm 2.729$ |
| 12         | Heylandia latibrosa DC.               | $2.000\pm0.000$               | 19375.500     | 94                    | 25.998 ± 2.481     |
| 13.        | Indigofera caerulea Roxb.             | $4.536 \pm 1.424$             | 3697.550      | 89                    | $40.053 \pm 3.720$ |
| 14.        | Indigofera linnaei Ali.               | $2.000\pm0.000$               | 13405.500     | 88                    | $40.650\pm4.014$   |
| 15.        | Indigofera colutea(Burm.f) Merr.      | $13.645 \pm 1.978$            | 1505.770      | 86                    | $31.151 \pm 3.141$ |
| 16.        | Pseudarthria viscida(L) Weight & Arn. | $4.000 \pm 0.000$             | 6595.500      | 93                    | $29.441 \pm 2.010$ |
| 17.        | Rhynchosia capitata (Roth) DC.        | $2.000 \pm 0.000$             | 125400.000    | 83                    | $33.375 \pm 2.502$ |
| 18.        | Rothia indica (L) Druce.              | 25.833 ±3.711                 | 1615.370      | 88                    | $26.092 \pm 2.104$ |
| 19.        | Tephrosea purpurea (L) Pers.          | $6.276\pm0.732$               | 3857.550      | 87                    | 30.888 ± 2.205     |
| 210        | Tephrosea villosa (L) Pers.           | $6.920\pm0.662$               | 3877.160      | 86                    | 32.606 ± 2.132     |
| 21.        | Vigna pilosa Bak.                     | $18.295 \pm 3.210$            | 14517.620     | 97                    | $37.800 \pm 3.457$ |
| 22         | Vigna trilobata (L) Verdc.            | $6.916 \pm 2.021$             | 4919.020      | 86                    | $37.087 \pm 2.293$ |
| 23.        | Zornia gibbosa Spanoghe.              | $4.080 \pm 1.253$             | 6493.872      | 85                    | $25.923 \pm 2.936$ |

#### Table 2. Pollen ovule ratio, viability and pollen size of some members of Fabaceae.

Mote: Mean ± Standard Deviation

**Technology** (L)Pers., *T. villosa* (L)Pers., *Vigna* Bak., *V. trilobata* (L)Verdc. and *Zornia gibbosa* **Spinoghe**). It includes the estimation of the number of pallen grains produced per anther, per flower, per **micrescence**<sup>10</sup> pollen to ovule ratio; pollen viability <sup>11</sup> and pallen size. Pollen ovule ratio was calculated by dividing the mean number of pollen grains by the mean number of palles of a flower. The size of a pollen grain was studied with the help of an ocular micrometer.

#### Results and Discussion

Pallen production, viability, pollen ovule ratio and pollen the of 23 species of Fabaceae are given in Tables1 and 2. Maximum number of pollen per flower was recorded in Contalaria retusa (1083295) and minimum in *Eleiotis*  monophylla (11600). Pollen viability was maximum in Crotalaria willdenowiana and Canavalia virosa (96 percent) and minimum in Desmodium triflorum (81 percent). Pollen to ovule ratio was more in Rhyncosia capitata (1:125400), Canavalia virosa (1:101950) and less in Indigofera colutea (1:1505), Crotalaria willdenowiana (1:1564). Largest pollen was encountered in Alysicarpus rugosus (85.24mm) and smallest in Zornia gibbosa (25.923 mm).

The pollen production and dispersal have both biological and genetic implications for the quality and genetic value of the seeds produced. The pollen production of a taxon is not only dependent on size of the anther or pollen, but is also controlled by other factors like

## Pragasam

periodicity, response to light and availability of nutrition<sup>12</sup> altitudinal variation<sup>13</sup>, heat stress<sup>14</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration<sup>3</sup> and also controlled genetically<sup>15</sup>. Pollen production of 54 terrestrial angiosperms was estimated by Mondal and Mandal<sup>1</sup>. They have stated that the pollen production varies from genus to genus and from species to species within the same genus of a family. They have also revealed that there is an increase in pollen production from the herbs to shrubs and from shrubs to trees. It is presumed that high pollen producers are cross-pollinated, whereas low-pollen producers are either self pollinated or apomictic.

The population of a particular species may be controlled by its pollen productivity. Pollen productivity and viability are directly related with the number of seeds produced which determine the richness of the population. The successful survival of Crotalaria species in masses may be attributed to its high pollen production and viability. Low fruit set in ground nut was reported due to low pollen productivity and viability13 .The number of pollen grains produced per ovule in a flower is always more in all the species studied. It is in conformity with the earlier findings<sup>8, 16, 17</sup>. The pollen morphology may be specific to a given family, genus or even to a species. The size of pollen grains in the species studied ranged from 25.998 µm (Zornia gibbosa) to 85.248 µm (Alysicarpus rugosus). The present study reveals that the quantification of pollen production, pollen viability, pollen ovule ratio and pollen morphology are useful in the identification of plant species, interpretation of data on the pollen content of the atmosphere and in the study of the richness of the population. References

- Mondal AK and Mandal S 1988, Pollen production in some terrestria angiosperms. *Curr. Sci.* 74(10) 906-910.
- Pragasam A, Negi V S, Presena J and Tanmay Bharani 2007, Pollen allergy of Cynodon dactylon. J. Phytol. Res. 20(1) 137-140.
- Rogers C A, Wayne P M, Macklin E A, Muilenberg M L and Wagner C J 2006, Interaction of the Onset of Spring and Elevated Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on Ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia) Pollen Production. Environ.

## Health Perspectives 114(6) 865-869.

- 4. Greenwood M 1980, Reproductive development in loblolly pine. 1. The early development of male and female strobili in relation to the long shoot growth behaviour. *Amer. J. Bot.* 67 1414-1422.
- 5. Allison T D 1990, Pollen production and plant density affect pollination and seed production in *Taxus canadensis*. *Ecology* **71** 516-522.
- 6. Stanley R G and Linskens H F 1974, Pollen: biology, biochemistry and management. Springer, New York.
- 7. Rogers CA 1993, Applications of aerobiological principles in palaeoecology. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* **79** 1113-1140
- 8. Khanduri V P and Sharma C M 2002, Pollen productivity variations. *Grana* **41** 29-38.
- Regal P J 1982, Pollination by wind and animals: Ecology of geographic patterns. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 13 497-524.
- Rao VNM and Khader JBMA 1962, Estimation of pollen production in fruit crops. *Madras Agric. J.* 12 152-156.
- Ostapenko V I 1956, An evaluation of different methods of determining pollen viability. Bjul. Nauctehn. Inform. Centre Genet. Laborium. 4 *Micurina* 2 38-41
- 12. Mandal S and Chanda S 1981, Biol. Mem. 6 1-61.
- Khanduri V P and Sharma C M 2002, Pollen production, Microsporangium Dehiscence and Pollen Flow in Himalayan Cedar (*Cedrus deodara* Roxb. Ex D.Don). *Annals of Botany* 89 587-593.
- Vara Prasad P V, Craufurd P Q and Summerfield R J 1999, Fruit Number in Relation to Pollen Production and Viability in Groundnut Exposed to Short Episodes of Heat Stress. *Annals of Botany* 84 381-386.
- 15. Smart I J Tuddenham W G and Knox R B 1972, Aust. J. Bot. 27 335-342.
- 16. Bateman A J 1947, Contamination in seed crops. 2. Wind pollination. *Heredity* **1** 235-246.
- 17. Cruden R W 1977, Pollen-ovule ratios: A conservative indicator of breeding system in flowering plants. *Evolution* **31** 32-46.